UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,999	04/17/2006	Jayshree Bharatia	16469RRUS03N	9376
Docket Clerk	7590 09/18/200	9	EXAM	IINER
P.O Drawer 800889 Dallas, TX 75380			PATEL, MUNJALKUMAR C	
Danas, 1A 1330	30		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/575,999	BHARATIA ET AL.
	Art Unit
Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez	2617

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Re	equest for Review filed 8 May 2009.
 Improper Request – The Request i reason(s): 	is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not include reas	on filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. sons why a review is appropriate. ed with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	nues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from ation, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under appe is required to submit an appeal brief in ac- brief will be reset to be one month from m running from the receipt of the notice of a	als and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been all because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant cordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal railing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period ppeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
The panel has determined the st Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration	
	nce has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
4. ⊠ Reopen Prosecution – A conference action will be mailed. No further action is	ce has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez.	(3)
(2) <u>Munjalkumar Patel</u> .	(4)
	lkumar Patel/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617